Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/920,262	GILES-KOMAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Prema M Mertz	1646
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Prema M Mertz (Primary Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Eric Dicht ® r (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-3 and 9</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: none.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)☐	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attack	o copy of the amendments that v	greed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to 1 GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, C FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	the last Office action has alread DR THE MAILING DATE OF TH IT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TI	y been filed, APPLICANT IS IS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
		•
Everyings Nato: You must sign this form unless it is as	Dame	Mert
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Dichter contacted the Examiner to determine if the claims were rendered allowable by the last amendment. It was indicated to Mr. Dichter that amending claim 1 to recite "comprising a heavy chain variable region (VH) of amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:7 and a light chain variable region (VL) of amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:8" would be allowable. In claim 3 the recitation of "substantially" was vague and indefinite (35 USC 112, second para rejection). Also claim 9 would be amended by attorney to mirror claim 1.